

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

CNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gev

APPLICATION NO. FILING DATE FIRST NAMED INVENTOR ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. CONFIRMATION NO. 09/558,393 04/25/2000 Stephen P. Scheinberg AD6552USNA1 9134 EXAMINER 30743 10/15/2003 WHITHAM, CURTIS & CHRISTOFFERSON, P.C. HALPERN, MARK 11491 SUNSET HILLS ROAD ART UNIT PAPER NUMBER SUITE 340 RESTON, VA 20190 1731

DATE MAILED: 10/15/2003

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

,••	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
Coffice Action Summary	09/558,393	SCHEINBERG, STEPHEN P.	
	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Mark Halpern	1731	
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address P riod for Reply			
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 1 MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). - Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).			
Status			
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on			
,	is action is non-final.		
3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.			
Disposition of Claims			
4) Claim(s) <u>36,37,40-42 and 44-56</u> is/are pending	g in the application.		
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.			
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.			
6) Claim(s) is/are rejected.			
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.			
8) Claim(s) <u>36,37,40-42, 44-56</u> are subject to rest	triction and/or election re	quirement.	
Application Papers			
9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner.			
10)☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)☐ accepted or b)☐ objected to by the Examiner.			
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).			
11) The proposed drawing correction filed on is: a) approved b) disapproved by the Examiner.			
If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply to this Office action.			
12) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex	ammer.		
Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120		0.440() ()) (0)	
13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign	n priority under 35 U.S.C.	§ 119(a)-(d) or (f).	
a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:			
1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.			
2. Certified copies of the priority documents			
 3. Copies of the certified copies of the prior application from the International But * See the attached detailed Office action for a list 	reau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	-	
14) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application).			
a) ☐ The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received. 15)☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121.			
Attachment(s)	-		
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s)	5) Notice of	Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s) Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)	

Application/Control Number: 09/558,393

Art Unit: 1731

DETAILED ACTION

1) Acknowledgement is made of Amendment received 7/7/2002. Applicant amends claims 36, 37, 40, cancels claims 39, 43, and offers new claims 46-56, for consideration.

Election/Restrictions

- 2) This application contains claims directed to the following patentably distinct species of the claimed invention:
- (a) thermoplastic components: polyethylene, polypropylene, polyethylene terephthalate (PET), polyamides, polyethylene naphthalate (PEN), polyetheretherketone (PEEK), polyetherketoneketone (PEKK);
- (b) reinforcement fibers: polyacrylonitrile (PAN) carbon, pitch based carbon, glass, paraamid, ceramics, metals, high temperature thermoplastics, thermosets, liquid crystal polymer fibers, ultra high molecular weight polyethylene, natural spider web, synthetic spider web.

Applicant is required under 35 U.S.C. 121 to elect a single disclosed species:

one from thermoplastic components of group (a) and one from reinforcement fibers of
group (b) for prosecution on the merits to which the claims shall be restricted if no
generic claim is finally held to be allowable. Currently, no claims are generic.

Applicant is advised that a reply to this requirement must include an identification of the species that is elected consonant with this requirement, and a listing of all claims

Application/Control Number: 09/558,393

Art Unit: 1731

readable thereon, including any claims subsequently added. An argument that a claim is allowable or that all claims are generic is considered nonresponsive unless accompanied by an election.

Upon the allowance of a generic claim, applicant will be entitled to consideration of claims to additional species which are written in dependent form or otherwise include all the limitations of an allowed generic claim as provided by 37 CFR 1.141. If claims are added after the election, applicant must indicate which are readable upon the elected species. MPEP § 809.02(a).

Should applicant traverse on the ground that the species are not patentably distinct, applicant should submit evidence or identify such evidence now of record showing the species to be obvious variants or clearly admit on the record that this is the case. In either instance, if the examiner finds one of the inventions unpatentable over the prior art, the evidence or admission may be used in a rejection under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) of the other invention.

3) A telephone call was made to Mary Goulet on 10/8/2003, to request an oral election to the above restriction requirement, but did not result in an election being made.

Applicant is advised that the reply to this requirement to be complete must include an election of the invention to be examined even though the requirement be traversed (37 CFR 1.143).

Art Unit: 1731

Conclusion

4) Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Mark Halpern whose telephone number is 703-305-4522. The examiner can normally be reached on Mon-Fri, (9:00-5:30).

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Steven Griffin can be reached on 703-308-1164. The fax phone numbers for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9309.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is 703-308-0651.

Mark Halpern
Patent Examiner

Art Unit 1731